1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JEROME RYAN OVERSTREET,	Case No.: 1:20-cv-00380-JLT (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE	
13	v.	SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	M. WHITE, et al.,		
15	Defendant.		
16		•	
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42		
18	U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915		
19	In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged		
20	violations took place in Sacramento County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the		
21	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should		
22	have been filed in the Sacramento Division.		
23	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper		
24	court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action		
25	will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not rule on plaintiff's request to		
2627	proceed in forma pauperis. Good cause appearing, the Court ORDERS :		
28	1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of		
20	California sitting in Sacramento; and	1	

1	2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be	
2	filed at:	
3	United States District Court Eastern District of California 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814 3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
4		
5		
6	3. This court has not fuled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
8	Dated: March 23, 2020 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston	
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
2728		
20	2	

I